



# Lincoln-Douglas Policy Debate

Round \_\_\_\_\_ Room \_\_\_\_\_  
 Affirmative Debater \_\_\_\_\_ Negative Debater \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ vs. \_\_\_\_\_

Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

AFF - Name						NEG - Name											
<b>SPEAKER POINTS</b>						<b>SPEAKER POINTS</b>											
		Weak						Weak				Strong					
Argumentation/Refutation	1	2	3	4	5	Argumentation/Refutation	1	2	3	4	5	Cross-Examination	1	2	3	4	5
Cross-Examination	1	2	3	4	5	Delivery	1	2	3	4	5	Evidence/Logic	1	2	3	4	5
Delivery	1	2	3	4	5	Evidence/Logic	1	2	3	4	5	Organization	1	2	3	4	5
Evidence/Logic	1	2	3	4	5	Organization	1	2	3	4	5	Persuasion	1	2	3	4	5
Organization	1	2	3	4	5	Persuasion	1	2	3	4	5						
Persuasion	1	2	3	4	5												
<b>SPEAKER RANK</b>						<b>SPEAKER RANK</b>						<b>TOTAL</b>			<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>		
1			2			1			2			<b>POINTS</b>			<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>		
COMMENTS:						COMMENTS:											

<b>Topicality</b> - plan is within bounds of resolution	<b>Inherency</b> - problems exist in status quo and will not be fixed
<b>Significance</b> - degree of importance attached to issues; disadvantages may apply here	<b>Solvency</b> - plan solves for harms, yields advantages, or achieves goals; disadvantages may apply here

- \* If you award all four stock issues to the Affirmative Debater, then Affirmative wins.
- \* If you award one or more stock issues to the Negative Debater, then Negative wins.

### Reason for Decision and Round Comments:

Please explain your decision referring to relevant stock issues (voting issues) when possible and give as much written feedback as possible to help the students improve.

**WINNER:**      \_\_\_\_\_ **Affirmative**      \_\_\_\_\_ **Negative**      \_\_\_\_\_ **Double Loss**

**Judge Signature** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date** \_\_\_\_\_

Your independent opinion is important, so please do not discuss your decisions with anyone for the whole of the tournament!